

The impact of AI on election campaigns: New opportunities and risks

Научный руководитель – Рыхтик Михаил Иванович

Нга Этжеке Даниель Виктуар

Postgraduate

Российский университет дружбы народов, Факультет гуманитарных и социальных наук,
Москва, Россия

E-mail: d4nielen@yandex.ru

Nga Etjeke Daniele Victoire

PhD student

Peoples' Friendship University of Russia named after Patrice Lumumba

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

<mailto:D4nielen@yandex.ru>

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a transformative tool in election campaigns, enabling unprecedented levels of voter data analysis, predictive modeling and targeted communication. By leveraging machine learning, algorithms, campaigns can identify key voter segments, optimize resource allocation, and craft personalized messages. However, the reliance on AI raises concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for manipulation. The dual-use nature of AI - both as a tool for engagement and a weapon for disinformation- underscores the need for robust ethical frameworks and regulatory oversight to ensure its responsible use in democratic processes [1].

AI- powered microtargeting allows political campaigns to deliver highly personalized messages to specific voter groups, increasing engagement and efficiency. By analyzing vast datasets, including social media activity and demographic information, AI algorithms can predict voter behaviour and preferences with remarkable accuracy. While this approach enhances campaign effectiveness, it also risks creating echo chambers, where voters are exposed only to information that reinforces their existing beliefs. This polarization of public discourse threatens the integrity of democratic deliberation and highlights the need for transparency in AI-driven campaigning [3].

AI has revolutionized voter mobilization strategies by enabling campaigns to identify and engage potential supporters more effectively. Chatbots, social media algorithms, and predictive analytics allow campaigns to automate communication, tailor messages, and optimize outreach efforts. While these tools increase efficiency, they also risk exacerbating inequalities by favouring well-funded campaigns with access to advanced AI technologies. Ensuring a level playing field in elections requires addressing these disparities and promoting equitable access to AI tools for all political actors [2].

As AI technologies continue to evolve, their impact on election campaigns will grow, offering both opportunities for innovation and risks to democratic integrity. AI has the potential to enhance voter engagement and improve campaign efficiency, but it also poses significant challenges, including ethical concerns, cybersecurity risks, and the potential for manipulation. Balancing these factors will require interdisciplinary research, ethical innovation, and proactive policymaking to ensure that AI is used to strengthen, rather than undermine, political processes[3].

AI algorithms play a critical role in shaping public opinion by curating content on social media platforms and influencing the information voters consume. These algorithms prioritize engagement, often amplifying sensational or polarizing content, which can distort public discourse and undermine informed decision-making. The lack of transparency in how these algorithms operate raises concerns about their impact on political processes. Regulating AI-driven content

curation and promoting algorithmic transparency are essential steps toward ensuring that AI serves the public interest rather than undermining it[1].

References

- 1 P. N. Howard, *Die Machines: How to save democracy from troll armies, deceitful robots, junk news operations, and political operatives*. Yale University Press, 2020.
- 2 S. Woolley and P.N. Howard, *Computational Propaganda: Political parties, Politicians, and Political Manipulation on Social Media*. Oxford University Press, 2018.
- 3 B. Bodo, N. Helberger, and C. H. de Vreese, “Political micro-targeting: A Manchurian candidate or just a dark horse?”, *Internet Policy Review*, vol. 6, no. 4, 2017